

11

INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

Relate the unknown function not only to its values at neighborhood points, like differential equations, but to its values throughout a region (boundary conditions are built in!)

We consider $y \in C[a, b]$ and $k(t, s)$ continuous over $[a, b] \times [a, b]$

We look for $x(t)$ such that

$$\int_a^t k(t, s) x(s) ds = y(t)$$

Volterra eq. of the 1st kind

$$\int_a^b k(t, s) x(s) ds = y(t)$$

Fredholm eq. of the 1st kind

$$x(t) = y(t) + \mu \int_a^t k(t, s) x(s) ds$$

Volterra eq. of the 2nd kind

$$x(t) = y(t) + \mu \int_a^b k(t, s) x(s) ds$$

Fredholm eq. of the 2nd kind

$K : x(t) \rightarrow \int_a^{b(t)} k(t, s) x(s) ds$ is an integral operator. It maps

$L^2[a, b] \rightarrow C[a, b]$ and it is compact (proof requires Ascoli-Arzelà theorem)

Note that K is symmetric and Hermitian if $k(t, s) = k^*(s, t)$

We will focus on the Volterra and Fredholm equations of the 2nd kind

They can be written as

$$(1 - \mu K)(x) = (y) \quad \text{or} \quad \left(K - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)(x) = -\frac{1}{\mu}(y) \equiv (y')$$

since K is compact we can use what we have learnt about compact operators.

2) Voltene equation of the 2nd kind

Theorem: the operator $1 - \mu K$ can always be inverted ($\mu \neq 0$)

\Rightarrow the Voltene eq. of the second kind has a unique solution

Proof: Let $M = \max_{[a,b] \times [a,b]} |k(s,t)|$ \Rightarrow we can show by induction that

$$\|K^n x\| \leq M^n \|x\| \frac{(b-a)^n}{n!} \quad \text{where } \|x\| = \max_{a \leq t \leq b} |x(t)|$$

We have $\left| \int_a^t k(t,s) x(s) ds \right| \leq M \int_a^t |x(s)| ds \leq M \|x\| (t-a)$

\Rightarrow the above inequality holds for $n=1$

Suppose that for $n-1$ $\|K^{n-1} x\|(t) \leq M^{n-1} \|x\| \frac{(t-a)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \|K^n x\|(t) &= \left| \int_a^t k(t,s) (K^{n-1} x)(s) ds \right| \leq \frac{M^{n-1} \|x\|}{(n-1)!} \int_a^t M (s-a)^{n-1} ds \\ &= \frac{M^n}{n!} \|x\| (t-a)^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|K^n x\| \leq M^n \|x\| \frac{(b-a)^n}{n!}$$

But now sending $K \rightarrow \mu K$ we have $\|\mu K\|^n \leq |\mu|^n M^n \frac{(b-a)^n}{n!}$

$$\left\| \sum_{m=0}^N (\mu K)^m \right\| \leq \sum_{m=0}^N \|(\mu K)^m\| \leq \sum_{m=0}^N |\mu|^m M^m \frac{(b-a)^m}{m!} \rightarrow e^{M|\mu|(b-a)}$$

\Rightarrow the series converges, and we can sum it treating it as a geometric series

\Rightarrow the series converges to $(1 - \mu K)^{-1}$

3) Fredholm eq. of the 2nd kind (we limit ourselves, for simplicity, to the case $K=K^+$)
 i.e. $k(s,t) = k^*(t,s)$

We can write the equation in the form $(I - \mu K)|x\rangle = |y\rangle$

\Rightarrow by using the spectral theorem for compact operators we can state that

- if $\lambda = \frac{1}{\mu}$ is not an eigenvalue of $K \Rightarrow$ a solution of the Fredholm equation always exists
- if $\lambda = \frac{1}{\mu}$ is is an eigenvalue \Rightarrow a solution exists if $y \in H_\lambda^\perp$
 (H_λ eigenspace corresponding to λ)

The above alternative goes under the name of Fredholm Alternative

We can write $K - \lambda I = \sum_i (\lambda_i - \lambda) |\varphi_i\rangle \langle \varphi_i|$ spectral decomposition
 \mathcal{I} complete orthonormal basis

$$\Rightarrow (K - \lambda I)^{-1} |y\rangle = \sum_i \frac{|\varphi_i\rangle \langle \varphi_i | y \rangle}{\lambda_i - \lambda}$$

\leftarrow this expression is well defined

if $\lambda \neq \lambda_i \forall i$

if $\lambda = \lambda_k$ eigenvalue

we must have $\langle \varphi_k | y \rangle = 0$

\Rightarrow the general solution of the equation can be written as

$$|x\rangle = \sum_i \frac{|\varphi_i\rangle \langle \varphi_i | y \rangle}{\lambda_i - \lambda} \quad \lambda \text{ not eigenvalue}$$

$$|x\rangle = \sum_{i \neq k} \frac{|\varphi_i\rangle \langle \varphi_i | y \rangle}{\lambda_i - \lambda} + |y_k\rangle \quad \lambda = \lambda_k \text{ eigenvalue}$$

\uparrow arbitrary vector in the eigenspace of λ_k

Note that, since the eigenvalues of K fulfil $|\lambda| \leq \|K\|$, if the equation

is such that $|\lambda| = \frac{1}{|\mu|} > \|K\| \Rightarrow \lambda$ cannot be eigenvalue!

4

EXAMPLE

$$H = L^2[0,1] \quad (Tx)(t) = \int_0^1 st x(s) ds$$

eigenvectors: $(Tx)(t) = t \int_0^1 s x(s) ds = \lambda x(t) \Rightarrow$ for $\lambda \neq 0$ we must have $x(t) = ct$

$$t \int_0^1 s^2 ds = \lambda t \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{3}$$

All the other eigenvectors correspond to $\lambda = 0$ and are such that $\int_0^1 s x(s) ds = 0$

We now want to discuss the spectral decomposition of T

and the resolvent $R_T(z) \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$

To do this, we have to find a basis for $L^2[0,1]$ such that a suitably normalized (φ_i) corresponds to $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{3}$ and is a basis vector.

We observe that $P_n(2t-1)$ form a basis for $L^2[0,1]$

$$\Rightarrow \text{We can choose } \tilde{\varphi}_1(t) = P_0(2t-1) = 1$$

$$\tilde{\varphi}_2(t) = P_1(2t-1) \cdot \sqrt{3} = \sqrt{3}(2t-1)$$

$$\tilde{\varphi}_m(t) = \sqrt{2^{m-1}} P_{m-1}(2t-1)$$

The desired vector (φ_i) is neither $(\tilde{\varphi}_1)$ nor $(\tilde{\varphi}_2)$ but a linear combination

of them: $(\varphi_1) = \sqrt{3} \tilde{\varphi}_1$. We can then define the orthonormal combination

$$(\varphi_2) = \tilde{\varphi}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad (\varphi_m) = \tilde{\varphi}_m \quad \text{for } m > 2.$$

The spectral decomposition of T is thus

$$T = \frac{1}{3} |\varphi_1\rangle \langle \varphi_1| \quad \text{and}$$

$$R_T(z) = \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i - z} |\varphi_i\rangle \langle \varphi_i| = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{3} - z} |\varphi_1\rangle \langle \varphi_1| - \frac{1}{z} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} |\varphi_i\rangle \langle \varphi_i|$$

5) • Let us now consider the Fredholm equation

$$x(t) - 4 \int_0^1 (3t) x(s) ds = 6t(2-t) \quad \text{which can be rewritten as}$$

$$(1 - 4T) |x\rangle = |Y\rangle \quad \lambda_i \neq \frac{1}{4} \Rightarrow \exists! \text{ solution}$$

$$|x\rangle = -\frac{1}{4} (T - \frac{1}{4} I)^{-1} |Y\rangle = -\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4}} \langle \varphi_i | Y \rangle \right) = 4 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \langle \varphi_i | Y \rangle |\varphi_i\rangle$$

$$= -3 \langle \varphi_1 | Y \rangle |\varphi_1\rangle + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \langle \varphi_i | Y \rangle |\varphi_i\rangle \quad \left(\varphi_3 = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} (3(2t-1)^2 - 1) \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{computing the scalar products we get } |x\rangle = -6t(t+3)$$

• Let us now consider the equation

$$x(t) - 3 \int_0^1 (3t) x(s) ds = 6t(k-t)$$

for which values of the parameter k has the equation solution?

Since $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$ is eigenvalue, we must check that $\langle \varphi_1 | Y \rangle = 0$

$$\langle \varphi_1 | Y \rangle = \int_0^1 \sqrt{3} t \cdot 6t(k-t) dt = 6\sqrt{3} \left(\frac{k}{3} - \frac{1}{4} \right) \Rightarrow k = \frac{3}{4}$$