



### PROBING NEW PHYSICS ACROSS SCALES

#### Yotam Soreq

Theoretical Particle Physics Seminar, University of Zurich, Nov. 27, 2018

1









# if the Standard Model is so successful, why do we look for new physics?

### WHY NEW PHYSICS?

experimental evidences:
Baryogenesis
v oscillations
dark matter

theory issues:
tuning of the Higgs mass
the strong CP problem
the flavor puzzle



# the quest for new physics





zoom



energy frontier



energy frontier



zoom







energy frontier intensity frontier



zoom

brightness

focus







energy frontier intensity frontier



zoom

brightness

focus







energy frontier intensity frontier precision frontier











### Higgs







# new force couples to matter

$$\frac{y_e y_A}{4\pi} \sin \theta \frac{e^{-m_\phi r}}{r}$$

#### PROBING NEW SPIN INDEPENDENT INTERACTIONS

 $\phi$  - a new force carrier (spin 0, 1 or 2), mass  $m_{\phi}$ 

at atomic systems



effective Yukawa like potential

interaction length~ $1/m_{\phi}$ 

#### PROBING NEW SPIN INDEPENDENT INTERACTIONS

 $\phi$  - a new force carrier (spin 0, 1 or 2), mass  $m_{\phi}$ 

at atomic systems



effective Yukawa like potential

 $\frac{y_e(y_p Z + (A - Z)y_n)}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-m_{\phi}r}}{r}$ electron-nucleus
interaction

 $\frac{y_e^2}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-m_{\phi}r_{12}}}{r_{12}}$ electron-electron
interaction

modify the electronic transition frequencies

interaction length~ $1/m_{\phi}$ 

# ISOTOPE SHIFT

#### basic idea measure the same electronic transition in different isotopes





# ISOTOPE SHIFT

#### basic idea measure the same electronic transition in different isotopes





probe the electron-neutron interaction  $(y_e y_n)$ 

#### CURRENT BOUNDS: E-N INTERACTION



#### to maximize the sensitivity for new physics

#### to maximize the sensitivity for new physics

theory uncertainty smaller than experimental one

few electrons systems (hydrogen, helium)

#### to maximize the sensitivity for new physics

theory uncertainty smaller than experimental one

few electrons systems (hydrogen, helium) unique observable which are insensitive to theory error

heavy elements (calcium, strontium, ytterbium)

### few electrons systems



for theory calculations: *e.g.* Pachucki, Patkos, Yerokhin, 1704.06902 Delaunay, Frugiuele, Fuchs, YS, PRD 1709.02817



Delaunay, Frugiuele, Fuchs, YS, PRD 1709.02817



for theory calculations: *e.g.* Pachucki, Patkos, Yerokhin, 1704.06902

15

Delaunay, Frugiuele, Fuchs, YS, PRD 1709.02817



# heavy elements

### HEAVY ELEMENTS - ISOTOPE SHIFT

theory error >> experimental error

$$\nu_i^{AA'} \equiv \nu_i^A - \nu_i^{A'}$$
theory error » experimental error

electronic nucleus factorization of effects effects  $\nu_i^{AA'} \equiv \nu_i^A - \nu_i^{A'} = \frac{K_i \mu_{AA'}}{F_i \delta \langle r^2 \rangle_{AA'}} + \dots$ 

Mass Shift

Field Shift  $\mu_{AA'} \equiv \frac{1}{m_A} - \frac{1}{m_{A'}}$ (short distance)

theory error » experimental error

electronic nucleus factorization of effects effects  $\nu_i^{AA'} \equiv \nu_i^A - \nu_i^{A'} = \frac{K_i \mu_{AA'}}{F_i \delta \langle r^2 \rangle_{AA'}} + \dots$ Mass Shift Field Shift  $\mu_{AA'} \equiv \frac{1}{m_A} - \frac{1}{m_{A'}}$ (short distance) *i*=1,2  $\left(\frac{\nu_2^{AA'}}{\mu_{AA'}}\right) = K_{21} + F_{21} \left(\frac{\nu_1^{AA'}}{\mu_{AA'}}\right)$  linear relation in the SM





 $\nu_i^{AA'} = K_i \mu_{A,A'} + F_i \left\langle r^2 \right\rangle_{A,A'} + y_e y_n X_i (A - A')$ 

(the *only* theory inputs)



Delaunay, Ozeri, Perez, **YS**, PRD 1601.05087 Berengut, Budker, Delaunay, Flambaum, Frugiuele, Fuchs, Grojean, Harnik, Ozeri, Perez, **YS**, 1704.05068

$$\nu_i^{AA'} = K_i \mu_{A,A'} + F_i \left\langle r^2 \right\rangle_{A,A'} + y_e y_n X_i (A - A')$$

(the *only* theory inputs)

long range new physics
misalignment between the new physics and the isotope shift

nonlinear King plot from new physics



similar to data driven background estimation at the LHC

Delaunay, Ozeri, Perez, **YS**, PRD 1601.05087 Berengut, Budker, Delaunay, Flambaum, Frugiuele, Fuchs, Grojean, Harnik, Ozeri, Perez, **YS**, 1704.05068

$$\nu_i^{AA'} = K_i \mu_{A,A'} + F_i \left\langle r^2 \right\rangle_{A,A'} + y_e y_n X_i (A - A')$$

(the *only* theory inputs)

long range new physics
misalignment between the new physics and the isotope shift

nonlinear King plot from new physics



data consistent with linearity

estimation of SM nonlinearity: Flambaum, Geddes, Viatkina 1709.00600 similar to data driven background estimation at the LHC

#### constrain NP

20

Delaunay, Ozeri, Perez, **YS**, PRD 1601.05087 Berengut, Budker, Delaunay, Flambaum, Frugiuele, Fuchs, Grojean, Harnik, Ozeri, Perez, **YS**, 1704.05068



# ISOTOPE SHIFTS: SUMMARY



## THE QUEST FOR NEW PHYSICS



# THE QUEST FOR NEW PHYSICS



#### dark matter

#### standard model



#### dark matter

24

#### standard model



#### dark matter

a portal

#### dark photon - A' / Axion like particles - a (ALPs)

# DARK PHOTON

portal between the standard model and dark matter

SM  $\xrightarrow{A} \xrightarrow{c} A'$  dark matter (kinetic mixing)

 $m_{A'} \ll m_Z, \varepsilon \ll 1$ 

Holdom, 86'

# DARK PHOTON

portal between the standard model and dark matter

SM  $\sim A \sim A' dark matter$ (kinetic mixing)

 $m_{A'} \ll m_Z, \varepsilon \ll 1$ 

Holdom, 86'

electromagnetic process

dark photon process

+ mass effect

 $(m_{A'}>0)$ 

88



 $m_A=0$ 

# DARK PHOTON SIGNAL

differential relation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{pp\to XA'\to X\mu^+\mu^-}}{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{pp\to X\gamma^*\to X\mu^+\mu^-}} = \epsilon^4 \frac{m_{\mu\mu}^4}{(m_{\mu\mu}^2 - m_{A'}^2)^2 + \Gamma_{A'}^2 m_{A'}^2}$$

Bjorken, Essig, Schuster, Toro, 0906.0580

# DARK PHOTON SIGNAL

#### differential relation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{pp\to XA'\to X\mu^+\mu^-}}{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{pp\to X\gamma^*\to X\mu^+\mu^-}} = \epsilon^4 \frac{m_{\mu\mu}^4}{(m_{\mu\mu}^2 - m_{A'}^2)^2 + \Gamma_{A'}^2 m_{A'}^2}$$

#### per mass bin:

$$\frac{S}{B_{\rm EM}} \approx \epsilon^4 \frac{\pi}{8} \frac{m_{A'}^2}{\Gamma_{A'} \sigma_{m_{\mu\mu}}} \approx \frac{3\pi}{8} \frac{m_{A'}}{\sigma_{m_{\mu\mu}}} \frac{\epsilon^2}{\alpha_{\rm EM} (N_\ell + \mathcal{R}_\mu)}$$

 $\frac{\Gamma_{A'}}{m_{A'}} \approx \frac{\epsilon^2 \alpha_{\rm EM}}{3} \left( N_\ell + \mathcal{R}_\mu \right)$ 

number of leptons with mass below  $m_{A'}/2$ 

 $\frac{\sigma_{e^+e^-\to \rm hadrons}}{\sigma_{e^+e^-\to\mu^+\mu^-}}$ 

Bjorken, Essig, Schuster, Toro, 0906.0580

# DARK PHOTON AT LHCB











#### displaced

long lifetime smaller ε



Ilten, **YS**, Thaler, Williams, Xue, PRL 1603.08926













## LHCB DARK PHOTON REACH



Ilten, **YS**, Thaler, Williams, Xue, PRL 1603.08926

## LHCB DARK PHOTON REACH



Ilten, **YS**, Thaler, Williams, Xue, PRL 1603.08926

## LHCB RESULT WITH 2016 DATA



LHCb, 1710.02867

## LHCB RESULT WITH 2016 DATA



LHCb, 1710.02867

## LHCB RESULT WITH 2016 DATA



### BEYOND THE DARK PHOTON MODEL



### BEYOND THE DARK PHOTON MODEL



generic vector resonances

$$\mathcal{L} \subset g_X \sum_f x_f \bar{f} \gamma^\mu f X_\mu + \sum_{\chi} \mathcal{L}_{X\chi\bar{\chi}}$$

33

rescaling of the production and branching ratio

$$\sigma_X \mathcal{B}_{X \to \mathcal{F}} \epsilon(\tau_X) = \sigma_{A'} \mathcal{B}_{A' \to \mathcal{F}} \epsilon(\tau_{A'})$$

see also <u>https://gitlab.com/philten/darkcast</u>

constrain generic vector resonances - X

$$g_X = \epsilon^2 \frac{\bar{\sigma}_{A'} \mathcal{B}_{A' \to \mathcal{F}} \epsilon(\tau_{A'})}{\bar{\sigma}_X \mathcal{B}_{X \to \mathcal{F}} \epsilon(\tau_X)}$$

Ilten, **YS**, Williams, Xue, 1801.04847


electron beam dump proton beam dump e+e- collider

*pp* collider meson decays *e* on fixed target 34



electron beam dump proton beam dump e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collider

*pp* collider meson decays *e* on fixed target

#### invisible decays



electron beam dump proton beam dump e+e- collider pp collider
meson decays
e on fixed targe

35

invisible decays



electron beam dump proton beam dump e+e- collider pp collider
meson decays
e on fixed targe

35

invisible decays



electron beam dump proton beam dump e+e- collider *pp* collider meson decays *e* on fixed target

invisible decays

assuming dominant invisible decay

#### assuming dominant invisible decay



#### DARK PHOTON AT LHCB







# AXION LIKE PARTICLES

#### pseudo scalars (appears in different BSM models)

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4\pi\alpha_s c_g}{\Lambda} a G^{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{c_{\gamma}}{4\Lambda} a F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$$

 $c_g \neq 0 \text{ or } c_\gamma \neq 0$ 

38

Aloni, YS, Williams, 1811.03474 Aloni, Fanelli, YS, Williams, work in progress

 $\mathscr{L}_{\rm eff} = \frac{c_{\gamma}}{4\Lambda} a F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$ 

Aloni, Fanelli, YS, Williams, work in progress

$$\mathscr{L}_{\rm eff} = \frac{c_{\gamma}}{4\Lambda} a F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$$

#### photon on fixed target



data driven signal estimation

Aloni, Fanelli, YS, Williams, work in progress



















41

41





 $\mathscr{L}_{\rm eff} = -\frac{4\pi\alpha_s c_g}{\Lambda} a G^{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}$ 

# how to estimate hadronic rates for ALPs with GeV scale mass?

 $m_a \gtrsim 2GeV$ pQCD

#### ηc cross check

	This Work	Experiment	
	$\mathrm{VMD} \times  \mathcal{F}(m) ^2$	Average	SU(3)
$\mathcal{B}(\eta_c \to \rho \rho)$	1.0%	$1.8\pm0.5\%$	$1.10\pm0.14\%$
$\mathcal{B}(\eta_c  o \omega \omega)$	0.40%	$0.20\pm0.10\%$	$0.44\pm0.06\%$
$\mathcal{B}(\eta_c  o \phi \phi)$	0.25%	$0.28\pm0.04\%$	$0.28\pm0.04\%$
$\mathcal{B}(\eta_c \to K^* \overline{K}^*)$	0.91%	$0.91\pm0.26\%$	$1.00\pm0.13\%$





## OUTLOOK

- new physics beyond the standard model is well motivated, but with unknown scale
- we saw examples how to probe new forces in intensity and precision frontiers
- each of these examples probes unexplored territories and improve our understanding of Nature



