



Laser Frequency Stabilisation and Interferometer Path Length Differences on LISA Pathfinder

Sarah Paczkowski on behalf of the LISA Pathfinder collaboration

This work has been made possible by the LISA Pathfinder mission,

which is part of the space-science program of the European Space Agency. We gratefully acknowledge support by the European Space Agency (ESA) (22331/09/NL/HB, 16238/10/NL/HB), by Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR) with funding of the Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft und Energie with a decision of the Deutschen Bundestag (DLR project reference numbers FKZ OQ 0501 and FKZ 50 OQ 1601) and thank the German Research Foundation for funding the Cluster of

Excellence QUEST (Centre for Quantum Engineering and Space-Time Research).



background

interferometer as our measurement stick

rewrite using

phase noise

 $=\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \Delta$

 $c = \lambda f$

 $\delta\phi=2\pi$

path length difference frequency

fluctuations

Laser frequency stabilisation & IFO path length differences on LPF XI LISA Symposium Zurich





- laser frequency noise is important because
 - with a non-zero path length difference, laser frequency noise couples into our measurement
- how to measure it: with a dedicated interferometer!



frequency IFO

- total: 4 interferometers (IFO) on LPF optical bench (OB)
- frequency interferometer allows us to measure the laser frequency noise
- intentional path length difference of 0.3821m in optical fibres before OB
- input to laser frequency control loop



picture courtesy of ASD & IGR



laser frequency control loop(s)



- nested control loop
- implemented at 100 Hz inside data management unit



laser frequency control loop(s)



- nested control loop
- implemented at 100 Hz inside data management unit



loop characterisation experiment

- measured either only at injection frequencies (transfer function estimate II) or including also the noise (transfer function estimate I) at all frequencies in between injection frequencies
- model with flight
 model test campaign
 parameters
- unity gain frequency Hz & phase margin



open - loop transfer function results from DOY 164



measured frequency noise

path length noise derived before OB build using 1pm req. & achieved with mption pessimistic 1cm mismatch estimated mismatch 10 10⁻¹ 7 116 7 167 JOY 11 05Y 167 uivalent displacement noise [m Hz^{-1/2}] 10 10⁶ frequency noise [Hz Hz^{-1/2}] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10⁻¹³ 10⁻¹⁴ 10⁻¹⁵ 10⁻¹⁶ 1°² 10⁻² 10⁻² 10⁻¹ 10⁰ 10⁰ 10⁻¹ Frequency [Hz] Frequency [Hz]

- fulfil frequency noise requirement with stabilisation
- due to small arm length difference, displacement requirement fulfilled in both cases



different levels of frequer 'noise



LTPDA 3.0.11.dev (H2014b), 2016-08-22 13:29:36.021 UTC, LPF_DA_Module: 3224d1b, ftpda: 4476c3b, jplo

- obsel, e two different levels
- systematic analysis pending •



arm length mismatch

path length difference



picture courtesy of ASD & IGR



frequency fluctuations

- arm length mismatch: path length of measurement and reference beam in same interferometer is not equal
- dependent on absolute TM positions
- 2 x mechanical mismatch ~ optical path length difference



arm length mismatch

- mismatch is interesting because
 - quality assessment of LPF Core Assembly (LCA)
 - parameter is needed to estimate the frequency noise contribution to total OMS noise
- in LPF: measure frequency noise (Freq IFO) & displacement in IFOs (o12 or o1 IFO)

deduce mismatch



arm length mismatch

two principles to calculate:

- transfer function
- noise minimisation

three ways to measure on LPF

- 1. arm-length mismatch experiment
- 2. from frequency loop characterisation experiment
- 3. during noise measurement



arm length mismatch experiment - design

steps of experiment: I. injection @ nominal position 2. injection @offset position

Open-loop
 noise
 measurement





arm length mismatch experiment - results

- the measurements are consistent with each other
- we know the sign of the mismatch
- expected: , measured: , agrees within errors of of the offset position
- the error at the offset position is larger because mismatch and thus coherence is smaller
- mismatch during noise measurement averaged over 5 min segments, worst case error estimate
- result agrees to the estimates from the frequency characterisation experiment on DOY164:
- not inconsistent with ground measurement where OB was measured individually
- well below the requirement of 1mm



arm length mismatch over time

- we want: arm length mismatch estimate over noise runs
- required: TM motion low, high coherence between the channels
- caveat I: phase tracking is reset after every station keeping
- · caveat II: accordance between the different methods and their errors

already in best case with injections, different implementations provide different errors

check: during injection measurement: equivalent displacement noise from frequency noise should explain * raks in o12:





contribution to total OMS noise

mismatch:

frequency noise relevant from

> max ~ @



summary

- in an interferometer where the two beams travel not the same path length, frequency noise is relevant
- on LPF, laser stabilised with nested feedback control
- deduce the arm length mismatch in o12 IFO
- the integration of LCA is better than required